#### Before the Federal Communications Commission Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of	)	CG Docket No. 02-278
	)	
Rules and Regulations Implementing	)	
	)	
the Telephone Consumer Protection	)	
	)	
Act of 1991	)	

## REPLY COMMENT TO THE NOTICE OF THE AMERICAN RESORT DEVELOPERS ASSOCIATION

I respectfully submit this Reply Comment to the Notice filed with the Commission by the American Resort Developers Association ("ARDA") in regard to the Commission's Report and Order adopted June 26<sup>th</sup>, 2003. [In the Matter of Rules and Regulations Implementing the Telephone Consumer Protection Act of 1991, Report and Order, FCC Rcd., 03-153 (F.C.C. Jul 03, 2003), 68 FR 44144-01.]

The ARDA is attempting to carve out an exemption for prerecorded telephone solicitations for the Resort industry. The ARDA suggests to the Commission that information only prerecorded messages are not advertisements. It is ludicrous to suggest that a prerecorded telephone solicitation, which intent is to *sell property*, is not a telemarketing call.

As such, I am submitting for the record the Complaint and Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction in The People of California v. Trendwest Resorts Inc. as relevant to this proceeding and as an example of why the ARDA's Petition for Reconsideration should be and must be denied.

Reconsideration should be and must be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/\_\_\_\_

Joe Shields

Texas Government & Public Relations Spokesperson for Private Citizen Inc. 16822 Stardale Lane

Friendswood, Texas 77546

1	DILLIOCKVED			
2	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General HEDSCHEL T. FLEINS			
3	HERSCHEL T. ELKINS, Senior Assistant Attorney General			
	ALBERT SHELDEN, Supervising Deputy Attorney General MICHAEL B. HUGHES, State Bar # 108409			
4	MICHAEL B. HÜGHES, State Bar # 108409 Deputy Attorney General,			
5	Deputy Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Suite 5212 Los Angeles, CA 90013			
6	JAMES P. FOX, DISTRICT ATTORNEY			
7	County of San Mateo, State of California State Bar No. 45169			
8	400 County Center, 3 <sup>rd</sup> Floor Redwood City, California 94063			
9	By: Chuck Finney, Deputy			
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff, The People of the State of California			
11				
12	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO			
13				
14				
15	THE PEOPLE OF THE	CASE NO.		
16	STATE OF CALIFORNIA,			
17	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES AND OTHER		
18	V.	EQUITABLE RELIEF		
19	TRENDWEST RESORTS, INC.,			
20	Defendant.			
21				
22	Plaintiff, the People of the State of California ("Plaintiff" or the "People"), by and			
23	through Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, and James P. Fox,			
24	District Attorney of the County of San Mateo, on information and belief alleges the			
25	following.			
26	111			
	///			
27		I.		
28				
	03-134.Time Share-Trendwest Complaint.wpd 102203 113107	1		

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTION, CIVIL PENALTIES, OTHER EQUITABLE RELIEF

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 1. The authority to bring this action is derived from Business and Professions Code Sections 17204, 17206, 17535 and 17536.
- 2. Defendant, at all times mentioned herein has transacted business within and from the County of San Mateo and throughout the State of California. The violations of law hereinafter described have been and are now being committed within and from the County of San Mateo and elsewhere within the State of California.

II.

#### **DEFENDANT**

- 3. Trendwest Resorts, Inc., (hereinafter, "Trendwest") is and at all relevant times mentioned herein was a corporation registered to do business in the State of California, having filed with the Secretary of the State of California, under number C16447560, and has CSC Lawyers Incorporating Service, 2730 Gateway Oaks Drive, Ste. 100, Sacramento, CA 95833, as its registered agent for service of process in the state. Defendant's principal office is in Redmond, Washington.
- 4. Defendant markets and sells timeshare and vacation contracts, and provides, furnishes, contracts for, arranges, or advertises that it can or may arrange, or has arranged, wholesale or retail air or sea transportation. As such, Defendant is subject to Department of Real Estate (hereinafter, "DRE") laws and regulations and to the laws affecting a "seller of travel," as defined in Business and Professions Code section 17550.1.

III.

#### **NATURE OF DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS**

5. Defendant Trendwest markets and sells timeshare interests and related vacation products, goods and services. Defendant uses a variety of marketing methods to get prospective purchasers to come to meetings at which Trendwest attempts to sell timeshare interests and related vacation products, goods and services. Trendwest uses oral and written methods to communicate its offers to consumers.

- 6. Trendwest uses travel certificates in its marketing to induce members of the public to attend sales presentations or to make a purchase. Typically, the certificates purport to offer the recipient either a short stay at one or more of various destinations or a short cruise. The travel certificates contain numerous conditions which are initially undisclosed and which, when belatedly disclosed, are difficult to comply with by the consumer. Even consumers who complied with the certificates' conditions had difficulty obtaining the promised incentive. Consumers who were successful in utilizing the incentive sometimes found that the incentive was different and of lesser value than was initially represented.
- 7. Defendant's real estate marketing and sales activities are closely regulated in the State of California by the Department of Real Estate; Trendwest also operates under license and regulation of other jurisdictions. Nevertheless, Trendwest has sold products, goods and services which it was not authorized to sell and engaged in marketing and sales which were in violation of its permits.
- 8. Defendant has used misrepresentations in the marketing of its products and services that were prohibited by Business and Professions Code section 17500 and Defendant has engaged in unfair and unlawful business practices in its sales practices, all of which are prohibited by Business and Professions Code section 17200 et seq.
- 9. Defendant failed to afford the recission and cancellation rights to which the consumers who purchased Defendant's products were entitled.

#### IV.

#### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

### Untrue and Misleading Statements in Violation of Business and Professions Code Section 17500 (By Plaintiff Against Defendant Trendwest)

- 10. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by this reference paragraphs 1 through 9 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
  - 11. Defendant Trendwest, within the past three years, with the intent to induce

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

members of the public to attend a sales presentation for a timeshare product and related services, has made untrue or misleading statements. The untrue or misleading statements were disseminated before the public in California, orally and in writing. Defendant Trendwest's communications, which violate Business and Professions Code Section 17500, include but are not limited to the following:

- Informing consumers that they were finalists in winning a certain A. promotional item or that they had already won a specific prize when such was not true;
- B. Misrepresenting to consumers the value of prizes, incentives, or promotional items by stating that the incentives have a higher retail value than the incentives actually have;
- Misrepresenting to consumers the value and utility of promotional incentives by offering the incentives without disclosing at the time of the offer all of the terms, limitations or conditions which must be followed in order to utilize the incentive;
- D. Misrepresenting to consumers the nature of what is necessary to receive a promotional incentive, gift or prize, by failing to state the requirement to attend a sales presentation to obtain the promotional incentive;
- Misrepresenting to consumers the nature of the vacation credits to be E. purchased by failing to adequately disclose that the credits expire;
- F. Misrepresenting to consumers the nature of the vacation credits offered for sale, allowing the purchaser to believe the credits have a fixed value or exchange rate, when the credits are actually subject to change in their valuation;
- G. Misrepresenting to consumers the nature of a timeshare purchase as having good value as a financial investment;
- H. Misrepresenting to consumers the location of resorts or availability of services:
  - I. Misrepresenting to consumers the quantity of vacation credits

needed to stay at a particular resort at a particular time or misrepresenting the quantity of vacation credits sufficient to obtain any other benefit or service;

- J. Misrepresenting to consumers the ability or ease with which an owner may check the availability of a property or service, make a reservation, or exchange vacation credits;
- K. Prior to the execution of any contract, misrepresenting to consumers the period of time that must elapse before a purchaser may use and/or make a reservation to stay at a resort or other facility, or use any other goods, service, or benefit;
- L. Prior to the execution of any contract, misrepresenting to consumers the future annual cost of participating in an exchange program and/or the cost of annual maintenance and association dues;
- M. Misrepresenting to the consumer, by failing to adequately disclose, that part of the timeshare contract involves a negative option contract;
- N. Representing that an offered "reduced" price of a timeshare interest (vacation credits) may only be accepted at the time of the current sales presentation, when such was not true; and
- O. Misrepresenting to the consumer that Trendwest was not responsible for verbal representations made by its agents.
- P. Misrepresenting to consumers the estimated length of the sales presentation they are scheduled to attend.
- 12. Defendant knew, or by the reasonable exercise of care should have known, that the statements or omissions of material fact set forth in paragraph 11 above were untrue or misleading at the time such statements were made.

///

27 ///

V.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

#### Acts of Unfair Competition in Violation of

#### **Business and Professions Code Section 17200**

#### (By Plaintiff Against Defendant)

- 13. Plaintiff incorporates by reference paragraphs 1 through 12 of this complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 14. Within four years prior to the filing of this complaint, Defendant Trendwest engaged in the following acts, among others, of unfair competition as defined in Business and Professions Code section 17200:
  - A. Using and offering a gift, prize, or promotional incentive in an untrue or misleading manner, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 17537, 17537.1 and 17537.2;
  - B. Offering a promotional incentive without disclosing all of the terms and conditions of the offer, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 17537.1 and 17537.2;
  - C. Offering a promotional incentive without sending the required written confirmation of the offer, in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 17537.1:
  - D. Offering a promotional incentive without disclosing that a certificate, coupon, or rain-check redeemable for fulfillment for goods and/or services will be provided as a promotional incentive, in violation of Business and Professions Code Sections 17537.1(c), (d), or (e);
  - E. Failing to disclose prior to the execution of any contract or offer, in clear and conspicuous language, that accrued vacation credits are subject to expiration if not used within a stated period of time;
  - F. Failing to disclose prior to the execution of any contract or offer, that vacation credit valuations and redemption schedules are subject to adjustment up or down, and that an association decision could result in a diminution of

vacation credit values;

- G. Stating that a timeshare purchase constitutes a financial investment;
- H. Failing to provide to WorldMark members the easy ability for an owner to check the availability of a property or service, or make a reservation or exchange vacation credits, when having represented such existed;
- I. Failing to adequately disclose, prior to the execution of any contract, the period of time that must elapse before a purchaser may use and/or make a reservation to stay at a resort or other facility, or use any other goods, service, or benefit;
- J. Failing to adequately disclose, prior to the execution of any contract, the annual cost of participating in an exchange program and/or the cost of annual maintenance and association dues, when applicable;
- K. Failing to adequately disclose to the consumer the expected costs for initial and renewal terms and to obtain the express written authorization from the consumer for any purchase, subscription or enrollment which Defendant arranges that results in automatic charging or billing of initial or periodic amounts to the consumer;
- L. Selling products, including a trial program with a rebate, without the required authorization from the Department of Real Estate;
- M. Failing to post the Public Report in a conspicuous and unobstructed manner where Defendant is selling timeshare interests, in violation of Section 11018.1(b) of the Business and Professions Code;
- N. Failing to adequately inform prospective purchasers that he or she can take as much time as he or she requires in order to read the Public Report and any and all other documents necessary to consummate a sale before leaving the premises or signing a contract or not allowing prospective purchasers the time and opportunity to do so;
  - O. Attempting to disclaim responsibility for the verbal representations

10

28

///

made by its agents;

- Failing to adequately disclose the consumer's right of rescission, in violation of Section 11024 of the Business and Professions Code:
- Failing to provide to consumers all of the procedures necessary to Q. effectively cancel the purchase and failing to fully and promptly honor the consumer's right of rescission when consumers properly exercised their right of rescission;
- R. Acting as a seller of travel within the definition of Business and Professions Code Section 17550.1, and receiving money or other valuable consideration, without being registered under Business and Professions Code Section 17550 et seg;
- S. Acting as a seller of travel within the definition of Business and Professions Code Section 17550.1, but failing to give the disclosures required by Business and Professions Code Section 17550 et seg;
- T. Failing to comply with the requirements of any applicable federal or California law relating to a "do not call list," including but not limited to the requirements to forbear from making calls or failing to comply with a consumer's request to place the consumer's name and number on the "do not call list";
- U. Using telephonic marketing methods unlawful in California, such as use of an automatic dialing device without an unrecorded introduction;
- V. Offering as a promotional incentive any travel certificate or coupon which will not be readily redeemed without the consumer paying for an upgrade at additional cost to the consumer;
- W. Misleading consumers as to the estimated length of the sales presentation they are scheduled to attend, in violation of Business and Professions Code section 17537.1;
  - X. Having a consumer execute a contract in a language other than a

24

28

///

language in which the consumer appears to be fluent, and other than the language in which material portions of the sales presentation was given;

- Y. Failing to investigate consumer complaints which allege unlawful business practices purportedly made during the solicitation, sales presentation, or at any time within the applicable cancellation period, and to take appropriate corrective action;
- Z. Contacting a consumer in violation of applicable debt collection laws or any bankruptcy orders;
- Allowing sales agents to continue a sales presentation, over the objections of the consumer, and by allowing sales agents to follow a consumer outside of the sale presentation building to the consumer's car or residence; and
- Making those misrepresentations in violation of Business and BB. Professions Code section 17500 as alleged in paragraph 11 of the First Cause of Action, which are incorporated herein by this reference as though fully set forth.

#### VI.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- 1. A permanent injunction be issued pursuant to Business and Professions Code Sections 17203 and 17535, and the Court's inherent equity powers, restraining and enjoining the Defendant Trendwest, its agents, employees, and representatives, and all persons acting under, by, through or on behalf of Defendant, who have actual or constructive notice of this Permanent Injunction from engaging in or performing directly or indirectly any or all of the following:
  - A. Making any of the misrepresentations set forth in the First Cause of Action, or any other misrepresentation proscribed by Business and Professions Code section 17500;
    - В. Doing those acts of unfair competition described in the Second

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN MATEO

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

TRENDWEST RESORTS, INC.,

Defendant.

CASE NO.

FINAL JUDGMENT AND PERMANENT INJUNCTION

Plaintiff, the People of the State of California, appearing through its attorney, Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the State of California, by Michael B. Hughes, Deputy Attorney General, and James P. Fox, District Attorney of the County of San Mateo, by Chuck Finney, Deputy District Attorney, and Trendwest Resorts, Inc. (hereinafter, "Defendant"), appearing through its counsel, Cox Castle & Nicholson LLP, by Frederick H. Kranz, Esq. and D. Scott Turner, Esq., having stipulated that this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction ("Judgment") may be entered by a judge or commissioner of the San Mateo County Superior Court without the taking of evidence, without trial or adjudication of any issue of fact or law, without this Judgment constituting any admission by any party concerning any issue of law or fact, and without this Judgment constituting any admission of liability or wrongdoing by Defendant; and good cause appearing therefrom,



--- T---- d------ 5-44------- (10 20 02) ---- d 182801

#### IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 1. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter hereof and the parties hereto.
- 2. The injunctive provisions of the Judgment are applicable to Defendant, its successors, officers, agents, employees, servants and representatives, and to all persons acting by, through, under or on behalf of Defendant, and to all persons acting in concert with or participating with Defendant with actual or constructive knowledge of this Final Judgment and Permanent Injunction.
- 3. Pursuant to California Business and Professions Code §17203 and §17535, Defendant, said individuals, said corporations, and said entities and their successors set forth in paragraph 2 above are hereby permanently enjoined and restrained from directly or indirectly doing any of the following:
  - A. Failing to comply with the requirements of any applicable federal or California law relating to a "do not call list," including but not limited to the requirements to forbear from making calls or having others make calls on Defendant's behalf to those on the "do not call list," and complying with a consumer's request to place the consumer's name and number on the "do not call list."
  - B. Using telephonic marketing methods unlawful in California, such as use of an automatic dialing device without an unrecorded introduction, or failing to have the natural person giving the introduction to first disclose Defendant's name as the business on whose behalf the call is being made, Defendant's address or telephone number in a clear and conspicuous fashion, and obtain the consent of the person called to listen to a prerecorded message.
  - C. Informing consumers that they are finalists in winning a certain promotional item or have already won a specific prize, unless it is true.
    - D. Offering as a promotional incentive any travel certificate or coupon



redeemable for air transportation, hotel accommodations, car rental, and/or other travel-related service which does not allow the recipient to pick a departure or use date by calling a telephone number, other than a (900) number or other number that imposes any charge to make the call other than the usual toll costs imposed by the consumer's phone service provider.

- E. Offering as a promotional incentive any travel certificate or coupon redeemable for air transportation and/or hotel accommodations or other travel-related service which entitles the recipient to a trip of a specified duration unless Defendant states at the time of the offer that there are terms, limitations or conditions which must be followed in order to utilize the incentive, and that the details of the terms will be sent to the consumer in writing, to be received by the consumer prior to leaving his or her home to attend the scheduled sales presentation; the writing shall include the approximate times of the air transportation's departure and return, if applicable, and all other material conditions, including any limitations as to the dates or times available for use of the incentive.
- F. Offering as a promotional incentive any travel certificate or coupon redeemable for fulfillment wherein, prior to the time when the consumer has a confirmed reservation for a date accepted by the consumer, the consumer is told about any upgrades by the offeror or a business fulfilling the incentive. "Told about any upgrades" as used herein shall mean an offer or discussion, prior to the time when the consumer has accepted a confirmed reservation for a date requested by the consumer, of any additional travel services or travel options to consumers, beyond that which is covered in the incentive given to the consumer, where such additional services or options are said to be available for additional consideration to be paid by the consumer.
  - G. Making any material misrepresentations proscribed by, or failing to



disclose all requirements required by Business and Professions Code §§ 17537.1 and 17537.2, including, without limitation, misrepresenting or failing to disclose that a consumer must attend a sales presentation to obtain a prize or promotional item.

- H. Unless the promotional incentive is already given to the consumer prior to the conclusion of the estimated duration of the sales presentation, as previously set forth in writing as required by Business and Professions Code §17537.1, failing to inform a person verbally and in writing immediately prior to the beginning of a sales presentation that at the conclusion of the estimated duration of the sales presentation, the consumer is free to terminate the sales presentation upon the expiration of the estimated duration of the sales presentation, and immediately collect the promised incentive.
- I. Failing to deliver the offered promotional incentive to said person upon request at the conclusion of the length of time for the sales presentation which was previously set forth in the writing required by Business and Professions Code §17537.1.
- J. Failing to disclose in violation of Business and Professions Code Sections 17537.1(c), (d), or (e), that a certificate, coupon, or rain-check redeemable for fulfillment for goods and or services will be provided as a promotional incentive, if that is the case.
- K. Failing to disclose, when applicable, prior to the execution of any contract or offer, in clear and conspicuous language, that accrued vacation credits are subject to expiration if not used within a stated period of time, that vacation credit valuations and redemption schedules are subject to adjustment up or down, that an association decision could result in a diminution of vacation credit values, and that an adjustment in the valuation of vacation credits or redemption costs could result in an increase or decrease in the redeemable value of the number of



vacation credits purchased.

L. Stating that a timeshare purchase constitutes a financial investment.

///

- M. Materially misrepresenting the location of resorts or availability of services.
- N. Materially misrepresenting the value of vacation credits needed to stay at a particular resort at a particular time or materially misrepresenting the quantity of vacation credits sufficient to obtain any other benefit or service.
- О. Materially misrepresenting the ability or ease with which an owner may check the availability of a property or service, or make a reservation or exchange vacation credits.
- Failing to clearly and conspicuously disclose, verbally and in writing, prior to the execution of any contract, the period of time that must elapse before a purchaser may use and/or make a reservation to stay at a resort or other facility, or use any other goods, service, or benefit.
- Offering in violation of any California statute, regulation, or Q. permit, any rebate or credit through purchase of Explorer Program contractual rights or any other such "short term product" program offered by or through Defendant.
- R. Failing to clearly and conspicuously disclose verbally and in writing, prior to the execution of any contract, the annual cost of participating in an exchange program and/or the cost of annual maintenance and association dues, when applicable.
- S. Failing to fully disclose to the consumer the expected costs for initial and renewal terms and to obtain the express written authorization from the consumer for any purchase, subscription or enrollment which Defendant arranges that results in automatic charging or billing of initial or periodic amounts to the



consumer.

- T. Representing that an offered "reduced" price of a timeshare interest (vacation credits) may only be accepted at the time of the current sales presentation, unless true.
- U. Failing to inform, verbally and in writing, any prospective purchaser that he or she can take as much time as he or she requires in order to read the Public Report and any and all other documents necessary to consummate a sale before leaving the premises or signing a contract, and not allowing, upon request, such prospective purchaser the time and opportunity to do so. If the prospective purchaser requests that he or she be able to return the next business day to complete the review of such documents before signing, Defendant shall accommodate such a request, and such return visit shall not disqualify the prospective purchaser from receiving any price reduction or other incentive for purchasing on the day of the scheduled sales presentation.
- V. Setting forth in any written contract for the purchase of vacation credits or the Explorer Program that Defendant will not be responsible for verbal representations made by its agents prior to the parties signing the contract or during the applicable cancellation period.
- W. Having a consumer execute a contract in a language other than a language in which the consumer appears to be fluent, or in a language other than in the language in which material portions of the sales presentation were given, unless the consumer has an interpreter who is fluent in both in the language in which the consumer appears to be fluent and in the oral and written language in which material portions of the sales presentation were given.
- X. Failing to provide each purchaser with the rescission notice described in Section 11024 of the Business and Professions Code. The notice



shall conform with applicable regulations of the California Department of Real Estate as to form except that it shall extend to the purchaser the right to rescind any contract resulting from the acceptance of an offer from Defendant until midnight of no earlier than three business days (or such greater number of days as required by law or permitted by contract) following the day on which the purchaser executed the offer to purchase. The offer to purchase shall also contain the following statement in immediate proximity to the space reserved for the signature of the purchaser, in capitalized, bold letters in size at least equal to the largest type exclusive of titles used in that document, but in type size no less than 10-point type, using at least 1.5 line spacing: "YOU, THE BUYER, MAY CANCEL THIS TRANSACTION AT ANY TIME PRIOR TO MIDNIGHT OF THE" [either "THIRD" or any greater number of days provided by law or contract] "BUSINESS DAY AFTER THE DATE OF THIS TRANSACTION. SEE THE NOTICE OF CANCELLATION FORM ATTACHED TO THE FRONT PAGE OF THE CALIFORNIA PUBLIC REPORT FOR AN EXPLANATION OF THIS RIGHT." Defendant shall provide to purchasers the Notice of Rescission attached to the Public Report.

- Y. Failing to provide to any person who contacts Defendant with a request to cancel a purchase all of the procedures necessary to effectively cancel the purchase.
- Z. Failing to cancel a purchase upon the receipt of a valid timely written Notice of Rescission. Defendant may not obtain from such person a waiver or cancellation of the rescission.
- AA. Failing to investigate reasonably within 45 days following receipt of any complaint by a consumer which alleges an unlawful business practice or a material misrepresentation during the solicitation, sales presentation, or at any time within the applicable cancellation period, and to take appropriate corrective



27

28

action.

- BB. Failing to offer to a purchaser identified in subparagraph "AA," above, no later than 60 days following receipt of such complaint, a cancellation of the purchase contract, a full refund of all monies paid, or other settlement satisfactory to the consumer, and a reversal of any negative entry on a credit report, in the event that Defendant finds that a material misrepresentation had been made to the consumer.
- CC. If a Defendant finds that a material misrepresentation has been made to a consumer or an unlawful business practice has been committed, failing to take appropriate remedial action, if any, with regard to the consumer and failing to initiate appropriate corrective action against the person and business that made the misrepresentation or committed the unlawful act, no later than 45 days following the determination that an unlawful act was committed.
- DD. Contacting a consumer in violation of applicable debt collection laws or any bankruptcy orders.
- EE. Billing or charging a consumer without the express written or oral authorization, or authorization received electronically or telephonically from the consumer to do so. No automatic or recurring charge or billing will be processed without the written authorization from the consumer.
- FF. Acting as a Seller of Travel as defined in Business and Professions Code §17550.1 without fully complying with all of the statutory requirements of the Seller of Travel Act, commencing at Business and Professions Code §17550.
- GG. Failing to have the Public Report issued by the Department of Real Estate posted in a place conspicuous to the public; materially altering the approved form of the Public Report, obstructing the first page of the Public Report, or in any other manner materially detracting from a conspicuously posted Public Report, or otherwise violating Section 11018.1(b) of the Business and



Professions Code.

- HH. Allowing any of Defendants' agents to go to a consumer's car or residence, or to otherwise follow a consumer outside of the sale presentation building, without the consumer's express permission.
- II. Failing to cancel a purchase contract, and to fully refund all monies paid and to reverse any negative entry on a credit report, if, during a period of one (1) year after the date of purchase, or occupancy by the consumer of a WorldMark resort unit, whichever occurs latter, the consumer alleges that Defendant made an oral misrepresentation during the consumer's statutory rescission period under Section 11024 of the Business and Professions Code that dissuaded the consumer from exercising his or her rescission right, unless Trendwest shows that the allegation is not true.
- 4. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code §17203 and §17535,
  Defendant shall, within ninety (90) days following notice of entry of this Judgment,
  offer restitution to any California resident who (a) executed a timeshare purchase
  contract between March 31, 2001 through the date of entry of this Judgment and (b) by
  E-mail, orally (whether by telephone or in person) or in writing (whether by mail, email, telegraph, or by fax) requested cancellation of said contract or a refund within
  forty-five (45) days after executing that contract. For purposes of this paragraph,
  "restitution" shall mean a rescission of the contract, a full refund of all monies paid,
  and a reversal of any negative credit report filed. In order to determine who is entitled
  to rescission and refunds, Defendant shall make all reasonable efforts to search their
  records, including memorializations, if any, of telephone or E-mail contacts, to identify
  these persons. Any person who claims that he/she attempted to make an oral
  cancellation of his/her contract shall, in the event Defendant is unable to locate a
  written record of such contact, have the burden of producing verifiable evidence of
  his/her claim. Defendant shall be entitled to an offset against the refund in an amount



27 28

24

25

26

equal to the fair market value of the timeshare accommodations used by the purchaser prior to the date on which the refund is issued. Within seventy-five (75) days following entry of this Judgment, Defendant shall provide to the Office of the Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013, Attention Michael B. Hughes, Deputy Attorney General, a list including the name, address, and work and home telephone numbers, if known, of all persons whom they have determined are entitled to restitution under this provision. For purposes of this paragraph, those entitled to restitution shall include those who either contacted Defendant directly in writing, by E-mail, fax, phone call, telegraph, or in person, or who made written complaints prior to the date of entry of this Judgment requesting rescission or a refund to any law enforcement agency, department of consumer affairs, Department of Real Estate or Better Business Bureau which in turn has forwarded copies of these complaints to Defendant, and who notify Defendant in writing within 30 days after the date of the offer of restitution, that the purchaser accepts Defendant's offer of restitution. Defendant shall obtain approval from the Attorney General and the San Mateo District Attorney for the form of the offer of restitution to be mailed to consumers.

5. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code §17203 and §17535, Defendant shall, within ninety (90) days following notice of entry of this Judgment, offer restitution to any California resident who (a) executed an Explorer Program purchase contract, and (b) as of the date of this Judgment, has not exercised his or her rights under such Explorer Program purchase contract. For purposes of this paragraph, "restitution" shall mean a rescission of the contract, a full refund of all monies paid, and a reversal of any negative credit report filed. In order to determine who is entitled to restitution, Defendant shall make all reasonable efforts to search their records, including memorializations, if any, of telephone or E-mails contact, to identify these persons. In addition to the foregoing, all purchasers of Explorer Program contract



rights but whose reservation is for a date commencing after the of entry of this Judgment will be permitted to use their reservation at Defendant's expense. Defendant shall, within one hundred twenty (120) days following notice of entry of this Judgment, forward a list, including the name, address, and work and home telephone numbers, if known, of all persons whom they have determined are entitled to restitution under this provision under this paragraph to the Office of the Attorney General, 300 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90013, Attention Michael B. Hughes, Deputy Attorney General. Defendant shall obtain approval from the Attorney General and the San Mateo District Attorney for the form of the offer of restitution to be mailed to consumers.

- 6. Within ninety (90) days following notice of entry of this Judgment, if and when the Defendant is contacted by any California resident who executed a timeshare purchase contract with Defendant prior to the date of entry of this Judgment and within the applicable statute of limitations period from the date of execution of said timeshare purchase contract, and said purchaser requests of the Defendant a rescission of his, her, or its purchase agreement for said timeshare based upon an alleged failure to provide clear and conspicuous disclosure of the purchaser's right of rescission as required by Business and Professions Code section 11024, the Defendant shall immediately inform said purchaser of the following in writing and shall follow the procedures stated below if the purchaser elects to avail himself, herself, or itself of relief pursuant to this paragraph of this Judgment:
  - a. That a written demand for rescission must be made by the purchaser upon Defendant within one hundred ten (110) days from the date of entry of this Judgment setting forth the reasons for such demand;
  - b. That the purchaser has the right to elect binding arbitration of his, her, or its claim by giving Defendant a written demand for binding arbitration within one hundred ten (110) days following notice of entry of this Judgment;



- c. That binding arbitration shall be pursuant to the rules of the American Arbitration Association and shall take place in the California county where the purchaser resides;
- d. That the filing fee for initiating the arbitration shall be paid entirely by Defendant;
- e. That each party shall be responsible for his, her or its own expenses in connection with the arbitration, including attorney's fees;
- f. Each party shall pay ½ of the arbitrator's fees, except that if the arbitrator rules for the purchaser, Defendant shall be responsible for all of the arbitrator's fees (arbitration fees can sometimes run into the thousands of dollars and before you decide to choose arbitration you should decide whether arbitration or following other civil legal remedies you may have available is better for you in your situation); and
- g. That the decision of the arbitrator shall be final and there shall be no right of appeal.
- 7. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code §17536, Defendant shall pay to the Office of the Attorney General the sum of one million two hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$1,250,000). Of this amount, six hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (\$625,000) shall be for attorneys' fees and costs, and six hundred and twenty-five thousand dollars (\$625,000) shall be assessed for civil penalties. Payment shall be forwarded to the Office of the Attorney General, 300 S. Spring St., Los Angeles, California 90013, Attn: Michael B. Hughes, Deputy Attorney General, at the time of entry of this Judgment.
- 8. Pursuant to Business and Professions Code §17206 and §17536, Defendant shall pay to the office of the San Mateo County District Attorney the sum of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$225,000). Of this amount, fifty-five thousand dollars (\$55,000) shall be for reimbursement of investigative expenses and costs, and one hundred seventy thousand dollars (\$170,000) shall be assessed for civil

2

3

5

6

7

8

12

13

16

21

26

24

penalties. Payment shall be made to the Office of the San Mateo County District Attorney, 400 County Center, 3rd Floor, Redwood City, California, Attention: Chuck Finney, Deputy District Attorney, at the time of the entry of this Judgment.

- Defendant shall pay to the California Department of Real Estate ("DRE") the sum of two hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$225,000) as reimbursement for legal and investigative costs incurred by the Department. This sum shall be made payable to the California Department of Justice which shall maintain such payment as a third party administrator on behalf of the DRE and shall deliver it to the DRE upon request received from the DRE. This payment shall be forwarded to the California Department of Justice, 300 S. Spring St., Los Angeles, California 90013, Attn: Michael B. Hughes, Deputy Attorney General, at the time of the entry of this Judgment.
- 10. For purposes of ensuring compliance with this Judgment, any duly authorized representative of the California Attorney General, upon giving reasonable notice, shall be permitted to inspect and copy all of Defendant's books, ledgers, accounts, correspondence, memoranda, contracts, banking records or other records or documents in the possession or under the control of Defendant which relate to any matters contained in this Judgment.
- 11. At any time, without the issuance of a subpoena duces tecum, Defendant shall make available to the Commissioner of the DRE or his or her representatives, within thirty (30) days following notice, Defendant's books, records and/or documents, which relate to a matter within the DRE's jurisdiction and which relate to the subject matter of this Judgment.
- 12. Should the DRE conduct an on-site inspection within a period of four (4) years after the entry of this Judgment to ensure compliance with this Judgment, Defendant shall reimburse to the DRE the reasonable cost of transportation, accommodations, and any other expenses incurred as a result of any on-site review, with the exception of salaries of DRE representatives conducting the inspection and/or



review and further limited to a maximum amount of twenty-five hundred dollars (\$2,500) per in-state review and up to a maximum of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for any out-of-state review, provided that under no circumstance shall the amount payable by Defendant exceed more than thirty thousand dollars (\$30,000) in any calendar year.

- 13. Pursuant to Sections 2800 and 2810.6 of Title 10, Chapter 6, California Code of Regulations, Defendant, and each of them, shall immediately notify the DRE of any material change affecting any of its timeshare subdivisions which are subject to an outstanding Public Report.
- 14. Except for those matters covered by this Judgment, and as otherwise expressly provided in this Judgment, nothing in any provision in this Judgment precludes, limits or restricts the Real Estate Commissioner from invoking and following any investigation, action, or remedy that she may bring under her own authority (including, but not limited to, Business and Professions Code Sections 10071, 10081, 10148, 11014, and 11019).
- 15. Jurisdiction is retained for the purpose of enabling any party to this Judgment to apply to the court at any time for such further orders and directions as may be necessary and appropriate for the construction or carrying out of this Judgment, for the modification of any of the injunctive provisions hereof, for the enforcement of compliance herewith or for the punishment of violations hereof.

DATED: October 29, 2003

JUDGE/COMMISSIONER